Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion

High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability

Brendan Cully brendan@cs.ubc.ca

Department of Computer Science The University of British Columbia

Xen Summit 5, November 2007

The University of British Columbia

Brendan Cully

High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
0000			
Motivation and Approach			

High availability in a nutshell

- The ability to tolerate fail-stop physical failure
- Not software failures
- Not non-fatal errors (memory errors etc)
- Not cold-start (recovery should be seamless)

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
0000			
Motivation and Approach			

High availability is hard

- Customized hardware is expensive and inflexible
- Operating systems are complex and ever-changing
- Libraries are restrictive
- Applications infinitely reinvent the (square) wheel

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
0000			
Motivation and Approach			

The Xen solution

- Machine state is readily available
- Interface is narrow and stable
- Performance is good

Brendan Cully High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability



Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
0000			
Motivation and Approach			

The REMUS High Availability Service

Redundancy-Enhanced Moderately Unreliable Servers

A checkpoint-based service providing

The University of British Columbia

- ► Generality
- Transparency
- Seamless failure recovery
- Multiprocessor support
- Active-Passive configuration

Brendan Cully

High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion

Outline

Introduction

Design and Implementation

High-speed checkpointing Network buffering Disk replication Failure detection

Evaluation

Conclusion

Brendan Cully High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability



Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
	• 00 00000000000		
Overview			

Approach

- Encapsulate execution in a virtual machine
- Perform frequent lightweight checkpoints
- Execute speculatively between checkpoints
- Propagate checkpoints asynchronously

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
	0000000000000		
Overview			

High-level overview



-The University of British Columbia

• 3 > 4

Brendan Cully

High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
	0000000000000		
Overview			

General operation

- The primary and backup begin with identical disk images
- Attach disk and network proxies to the protected VM when it begins execution
- ▶ At frequent intervals ($\approx 25ms$) take a checkpoint of memory and disk state and propagate it to the backup
- When the checkpoint has been acknowledged at the backup, buffered output is released to external clients

Introduction	Design and Implementation ○○●○○○○○○○○○○	Evaluation	Conclusion
High-speed checkpointing			

Virtual machine checkpointing

Modification of existing code supporting live migration

- In essence, it moves the virtual machine to a new location, but also leaves it running at the old location
- The remote node does not allow the image to execute until a failure occurs at the primary
- Required several changes
 - Performance optimizations
 - Changes to Xen to allow checkpointed images to resume execution (now in the upstream codebase)
 - Changes to ensure that a *consistent* image is available at all times on the backup

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
High-speed checkpointing			

Live migration in a nutshell

- > Xen puts the virtual machine into *shadow paging mode*
 - Guest page tables are replaced at the hardware level with versions in which all pages are marked read-only
 - Write faults allow Xen to maintain a map of dirty pages before restoring read-write access to pages (or propagating page faults)
- Live migration is performed by copying dirty pages to the new location without pausing the guest
- This occurs in rounds: the migration process chases the virtual machine
- A final round before migration pauses the domain in order to capture a consistent image of up-to-date state before activating the VM at the new location
- The original VM is destroyed

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
High-speed checkpointing			

Checkpointing support

- Checkpointing is the repeated execution of the final stage of live migration: all state changed since the previous epoch is propagated
- To allow repeated checkpointing, new functions were added to Xen to mark a domain as runnable after suspend
- The migration process was converted into a persistent daemon
- The process receiving migration data was modified to buffer checkpoint rounds in memory and apply them only after they had been completely received
- It was also modified to loop waiting for new checkpoint data unless the connection to the sender times out

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
0000	000000000000	000	00
High-speed checkpointing			

Performance optimizations

- Checkpoint data is buffered locally and propagated after the guest has resumed
- Special signalling is used to request guest suspension and receive notification upon completion
 - This reduces the time required for this operation from an average of 30-40ms (worst-case over 500ms) to roughly 100us
- The guest suspend process is simplified. Devices are no longer disconnected on suspend or reconnected on resume

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
Network buffering			

Network buffer principles

- ► IP networks are unreliable
 - They may lose, duplicate or reorder packets
 - Applications either tolerate this or use a layer above IP to provide stream semantics (i.e. TCP)
- Replication does not need to preserve network data to ensure correctness
 - If network output is lost due to failover, applications will recover
- Network output representing *speculative* state must be buffered
 - In the case of failure, the state that produced this output is lost, and not likely to return

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
	000000000000		
Network buffering			

Network buffer overview



Brendan Cully

The University of British Columbia

High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability

Introduction	Design and Implementation ○○○○○○○○●○○○○○	Evaluation	Conclusion
Network buffering			

Network buffer implementation

- Implemented as a custom-built queueing discipline
 - Queueing disciplines regulate outbound traffic from network devices. Commonly used to rate-limit (token-bucket) or provide better fairness under congestion (SFQ)
 - Have two basic operations: enqueue and dequeue. In Remus, packets are only dequeued when the state that generated them has been checkpointed
 - Remus sends a message via RTNetlink to the queueing discipline to mark a checkpoint
- Installed over the IMQ device
 - Outbound traffic from the guest VM is inbound traffic for the host
 - Linux queueing disciplines only queue outbound traffic
 - IMQ is a third-party virtual device that accepts inbound traffic and reinjects it specifically to allow inbound queueing

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
Disk replication		000	

Disk replication principles

- The active disk must be crash-consistent at all times
- In case of failure, disk state at the time of the most recent checkpoint must be available
- At all times, only one physical disk represents the most recent state of the host

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Disk replication			

Disk replication overview



Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
Disk replication			

Disk replication implementation

- Implemented as a *block tap* module
 - The block tap is a Xen device that allows a user-space process to interpose on the block request/response stream between a virtual machine and its devices
- Operates as a client/server pair
- The client
 - Propagates disk write requests to the server at the same time that it passes them to the underlying device
 - Forwards checkpoint messages from Remus to the backup
 - Forwards checkpoint commit messages from the backup to Remus

Introduction	Design and Implementation ○○○○○○○○○○●○	Evaluation	Conclusion
Disk replication			

Disk replication server

- The server maintains two separate buffers
 - The speculation buffer receives the write request stream forwarded from the client
 - When a checkpoint message arrives in the stream, it moves the speculation buffer into the *write-out buffer*
- The contents of the write-out buffer are written to disk asynchronously
- In the event of failure, the speculation buffer is discarded
- Execution does not begin on the backup until the write-out buffer has been flushed to disk
 - Once execution has begun, the backup represents externally visible state — its disk image must be at least crash-consistent
 - When the write-out buffer has drained, an activation record is written to disk and execution may resume

Introduction	Design and Implementation ○○○○○○○○○○●	Evaluation	Conclusion
Failure detection			

Detecting failure

- Failure detection is managed by a simple in-stream heartbeat
 - If the primary times out writing to the backup, or does not receive checkpoint commit acknowledgment, it disables replication
 - If the backup times out reading checkpoint data from the primary, it activate the replicated VM from the most recent completed checkpoint.
- Currently there is no provision for fencing in the case of network partition
 - Bonded NICs on the replication channel may suffice

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
		000	
Experiment Setup			

Test environment

UBC netbed

- Each node is equipped with
 - 1 3.2 GHz Pentium 4 CPU (2 hyperthreads)
 - 3 1Gbps NICs
 - 1024 MB RAM (mostly)
 - 1 80 GB SATA drive
- Nodes are networked
 - One link is for application traffic
 - One link provides administrative access
 - One link is for replication traffic

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion
		000	
Does it work?			

Failover test

- Test procedure
 - Ping primary every 200ms to measure response time
 - SSH to node, begin kernel compilation
 - Disconnect power to primary node
- Results
 - SSH session remains open
 - Kernel compilation continues to successful completion
 - Ping reports 6 lost packets (1.2 seconds unavailable)

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation ○○●	Conclusion
Kernel compilation			

The effect of checkpointing on kernel compilation time



Checkpoints per second

Brendan Cully

The University of British Columbia

High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion ●○
Exercises for the reader			

Future work

- Hardware virtualization support
- Introspection optimizations
- Copy-on-write checkpoints
- Deadline scheduler
- Replication stream compression
- Disaster protection

The University of British Columbia

High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability

Introduction	Design and Implementation	Evaluation	Conclusion ○●
Easy questions?			



fin



The University of British Columbia

Brendan Cully High-speed Checkpointing for High Availability